

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/124,925	07/29/1998	KOICHI ASHIZAWA	-	7241
23364 75	590 04/22/2005		EXAM	INER
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE				
FOURTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314			

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s) ASHIZAWA ET AL.	
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner Tracy Dove	Art Unit 1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	l pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address	
The Appeal Brief filed on 2/7/05 is defective for failure to	to comply with one or mo	re provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.	
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a	complete new brief in cor	noliance with 37 CER 41 37 within ON	F

MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, 2. withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent 4. claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). 7. 🖂 The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). 8. The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Regarding item 5 above, under the heading "ISSUES".the brief refers to a 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 1, 2 and 4 because of the term "complicated". The claims on appeal (1, 2 and 4) do not contain the term "complicated". Applicant also presents arguments regarding the term "complicated" in the "ARGUMENT" and "SUMMARY" sections of the brief.

TRACY DOVE PRIMARY EXAMINER